

RECEIVED

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

LTC # 148-2013

LETTER TO COMMISSION2: 30

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Mayor Matti Herrera Bower and Members of the City Commission

FROM:

Jimmy L. Morales, City Manager

DATE:

April 26, 2013

SUBJECT: Cleanliness Index & Assessment Program Results for FY 2012/13 Quarter 2

The purpose of this Letter to Commission is to communicate the results of the award winning Cleanliness Index and Assessment program from FY2012/13 Quarter 2 (January 1, 2013 to March 31, 2013).

Background

The Miami Beach Public Area Cleanliness Index is an objective measurement of performance ranging from 1.0 (Very Clean) to 6.0 (Very Dirty) and includes assessments of litter, litter/ garbage cans/dumpsters, organic material, and fecal matter. The results of the assessments are used to monitor the impacts of recently implemented initiatives to target areas for future improvements, and assure the quality of services.

During FY 2006/07, the City tightened the target for the Citywide and area-specific cleanliness indicators from 2.0 to 1.5 - the lower the score on the cleanliness index indicates a cleaner area. This target continues to be the same through FY 2007/08, FY 2008/09, FY 2009/10, FY 2010/11, FY 2011/12 and FY 2012/13. As important, the City also has a goal to ensure that 90 percent of assessments score 2.0 or better.

Summary of the Cleanliness Assessment Results FY 2012/13 Quarter 2

Overall, the citywide cleanliness index improved during FY 2012/13 Quarter 2 by 25.3% when compared to the same quarter in FY2005/06 (the first year the program was implemented) and improved when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12 by 11.0%. In general, the City's cleanliness has steadily progressed as evidenced by the index, anecdotal information, and results of our most recent resident survey. However, cleanliness remains a top priority for the City.

Positive and Improved Areas in FY 2012/13 Quarter 2

Beaches - Scores improved for those areas covered by Miami Beach staff by 2.3% when compared to the prior quarter and 5.2% when compared to the prior FY quarter. Areas covered by Miami Dade County (MDC) declined slightly by 1.5% compared to the prior FY quarter and .7% compared to the prior FY quarter due to the month of March is a high impact special event period. However, all areas of the beach rate below the 1.5 target, with 95.4% and 93.3% respectively having assessments below 2.0. Cleanliness of beaches remains a priority, with multiple departments collaborating to address issues. Prior improvements, which include

increased number of garbage cans in high traffic areas and the use of larger cans (45 gallons to 70 gallons) have helped to sustain beach cleanliness and overall improved assessments.

• Alleys— Overall scores in alleys improved 6.6% when compared to the prior quarter and 12.4% when compared to the prior FY quarter. Increased inspections by code compliance staff implemented in Q4 of the prior fiscal year has contributed to improved overall score and a decrease in illegal dumping across the beach. However, the alley cleanliness rating at 1.69 remains among the poorest citywide. Litter on the ground and dumpster graffitti continue to be an issue in South Beach.

Areas of Focus

- Parks- Overall scores improved by 2.7% when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12, but declined by 10.9% when compared to the prior quarter. Parks will work with the Homeless Outreach team to address specific issues in parks located in the South Beach area.
- Waterways Overall scores improved by 18.4% when compared to the same quarter in FY2005/06, but this continues to be an area of focus as scores remain poor compared to all assessment areas. Waterways improved by 10.8% when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12. Waterways in the South Beach area reflect a deterioration of 45.6% when compared to the prior quarter; however, improved 12.6% when compared to the prior FY quarter due to litter and organic material. Organizational Development has provided training to contracted staff regarding cleanliness index, to increase awareness of program factors.
- Parking Lots Overall, scores improved by 18.3% when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12. Middle Beach and North Beach parking lots reflect a decline of 17.6% and 1.7 % respectively from the prior quarter, with primary drivers of the decline being litter on the ground and illegal dumping. As of October 1, 2012, two (2) full crews are servicing select parking across lots across Miami Beach seven (7) days a week. Sanitation will monitor and adjust as needed.
- <u>Streets</u>— Streets across the Beach improved by 10.7% when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12. Streets in the North Beach area declined by 18.9% when compared to the prior quarter, specifically the commercial non-entertainment area by 23.9% when compared to the prior quarter. Sanitation has adjusted crew scheduling to address North Beach issues in the morning, both during the week and weekend hours, and will continue to monitor the North Beach issue.
- <u>Sidewalks</u>— Sidewalks across the Beach improved 14.4% when compared to the same quarter in FY2011/12. Sidewalks in commercial non-entertainment areas in North Beach declined by 10.5% when compared to the prior quarter; however, approximately 93.2% of residential sidewalks are exceeding the established target. The adjusted crew scheduling mentioned above impacts sidewalks along with streets.

CONTRACTOR OF STREET	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Total Control of the	STATE OF THE PARTY		FYES		THE RESERVE		
Public Area			0		0	A STATE OF THE STATE OF	0	The SERVICE SERVICE	FY
erall City Score	2.	4	1.5	The state of the s	23	•	2.0		
esta	2	97	1.5	Maria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l	2.		1.6	The second secon	
Not including alleys	1.3	99	1.1	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF	2.5		1.7	CONTRACTOR STATES	
Commercial - Entertainment	1,	54	1.1	/8	24		1.7	4	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.	89	1.5	17	1.5	1	1.7	5	
Residential	2.	S	1.9	3	2.1	1	1.7	4	
Alleys		46	20		2.7	R	2.4		-
dowalks		02	21		23		1.5	Contract the second second second	-
		87	1.5	the same of the sa	2.5		1.5	And the second s	
Commercial - Entertainment		The Charles of the Control of the Co	Company of the State of the Company	Contract Contract Contract of Contract		The state of the s			
Commercial - Non-Entertainment		97	2		1.5	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	1.7	The same of the sa	
Residential		28	2		2.3		1.6	Control of the Contro	
arks	Sec. 10. 2	0	1.	Contract of the second second	1.5	13	2.0		
arkino	2.	25	2	26	2.3	0	2.0	I management	
Moreav	2.	77	2	12	2.5	13	2.5	3	
agoh Areas									
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	2	02	1.5	58	1.8	10	1.5	1	
Mami-Dade County Responsibility	1	96	1.	78	21	14	1.5	5	-
mont book trong responsery						The state of the s			-
With the particular particular to the	FY05/06	PYOSIDS	PYDAMO	FY10/11	PYTHIZ	FYI2/I3	THE LINE OF THE PARTY.	TO SECOND	
Publio Area	FY Soore	FY Soore	FY Score	FY Soore	FY Score	FY Soore	tom prior FY	from base PY	
	Mark College (Co.)	NAME AND ASSOCIATED	Marie Marie Control			STATE OF THE PARTY.	acore	BCOPE	
verall City Score	2.10	1.75	1.80	1.60	1.59				
reets	2.03	1.73	1.74	1.65	1.55				
Not including alleys	1.94	1.66	1.66	1.54					
Commercial - Entertainment	1.95	1.65	1.69	1.55	1.44	No. of the last		Name of the	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.83	1.65	1.63	1.57	1.40		STORES OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		
Residential	2.01	1.68	1.65	1.51	1.43	No. of London		Total Control of the	
Alleys	2.60	1.97	1.99	1.89	1.84				
			The state of the s	The state of the s	1.04				
dewalks	2.06	1.73	1.75	1.63	1.45				
Commercial - Entertainment	2.04	1.69	1.77	1.64	1.43			Control of the second	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.95	1.79	1.77	1.63	1.57				
Residential	2.14	1.77.	1.71	1.59	142				
arks	1.90	1.55	1.57	1.39	1.44	COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	STATE OF THE PARTY	
arking	2.21	1.96	2.00	1.81	1.75				
Vatorway	2.59	2.10	2.11	1.70	1,96				
each Areas			1.59						
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	1.85	1.62		1,43	1.8				
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.93	1.61	1.63	1.44	142				
A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	MICH STATE		FY11/12		A CONTRACTOR	PARTY.	S. S. DELLING	STATE OF THE PARTY	
Contract of the last of the last	91	92	93	04	PY Score	% change	% change from base FY	THE RESERVE OF	
Public Area					PT Score	tom prior FY acore	BOOPS		
verall City Soore	1.64	1.63	1.55	1.53	1.59	-0.6%	-24.2%		
treets	1.64	1,59	1.51	1.47	1,55	-6.0%	-23.6%		
Not including alleys	1.52	1.46	140	140	1.45	-5.8%	-25.2%	STATE OF THE STATE OF	
Commercial - Entertainment	1.51	1 45	134	1.39	144	-7.1%	-26.1%		
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.53	1,51	1.40	1.47	1 25	4.4%	-18.0%		
	1.55	1,51		1.00	1 20		-28.8%		
Residential	1,45	1.40	1.49	1.55	143	-5.3%			
Alleys	1.92	1.93	1.82	1.67	1.84	-2.6%	-29.2%		
Idewalks	1.45	1.53	1.40		1.45	-11.0%	-29.6%		
Commercial - Entertainment	1.42	1.57	1.34		1.43	-12.8%	-29.9%	SELECTIFICAL.	
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	1.63	1.60	1.58	1.61	1.57	-3.6%	-19.4%		
Residential	1.35	144	1.55	1.34	143	-10.6%	-33.6%		
	1.44	146	1.25	1.43	144	3.6%	-24.2%		
arks			1.00			-3.3%	-20.8%		
arking	1.71	1.91	1.60	1.77	1.75		San and the Control of the Control o		
Vaterway	2.26	1.94	1.91	1.74	1.96	15.2%	-24.3%		
Beach Areac			DOM: NO.				HE SERVER		
Miami Beach Responsibility Only			1,43		1.36	4.9%	-26.4%	The state of the s	
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	1.55	136			1.42	~4.0%	-25.4%	The state of the s	
			FYIZHS		CALCULATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street,	Name and Address of	A INCOME VALUE OF	
					1			% change	
Public Area	Q1	Q2	- 01	04	PY Score	% change from prior Gtr	% change in prior FY Gtr	% change from same quarter in base year	1600
	SERVICE OF			STATE OF STATE	CASSESS S	Store prior dor	police PT Ger	base year	1000
Overall City Soore	1.43	1.45	The second second		No. of Lot, House, etc., in case of	1.4%	-11.0%	-25.3%	
treets	1.43	1.42	BECKE STORY		100 SEA SEA	-0.7%	-10.7%	-28.3%	ST THE
Not including alleys	1.54	1.33	-	PARTY NAMED IN	-	1.5%	-10.1%	-28.1%	1
Commercial - Entertainment	1.33	1 126	-			4.6%	-9.3%	-23.6%	
	-	1	The second				-7.3%	-25.1%	ST THE
Commercial - Non-Entertainment		146	The second second	-		0.0%	The second secon		
Residential	1.25	1,18				-6.3%	-15.7%	-38.9%	3330
Alleys	1.81	1.69		THE RESERVE		-6.6%	-12.4%	-37.2%	
Idewalks	1.33	131	ALC: NAME OF		STATE OF STREET	-1.5%	-14.4%	-36.1%	
Commercial - Entertainment	1.32	1 35	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other party of the Concession, Name of Street, or other pa	The state of the	1000	2.3%	-14.0%	-30.8%	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
Commercial - Non-Entertainment		1.40		7		-2.8%	-12.5%	-34.9%	35 35
	1.55	1.17	100	SZ-S		-14.6%	-18.8%	-44.5%	
	1.70	142	-	-	-	10.9%	-2.7%	-7.2%	BORT
Residential	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					The state of the s			The Park
arks	4.00			The state of the s	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	2.6%	-18.3%	-31.0%	
arks.	1.52	1.56					-		
ranks. Parking Vafarway	1.52	1.86		Cont. No.		3.0%	-10.8%	-18.4%	100
arks arking vaforway		and the second second second	200000000000000000000000000000000000000				65 THE		
Parks	1.68	and the second second second				3.0%	-10.8%	-18.4%	



Public Area	THE PERSON OF TH							
	Qf	Q2	Q4	Q4	FY Score			
Citywide	57.5%	71.1%	56.7%	75.5%	65.2%			
tireeis	65.7%	79.2%	63.9%	84.8%	73.4%			
Commercial - Entertainment	66.1%	81.1%	47.5%	74.6%	67.3%			
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	76.7%	72.2%	82.4%	97.9%	82.3%			
Residential	55.8%	84.6%	66.2%	86.1%	73.5%			
Alleys	37.7%	36.8%	37.0%	56.2%	41.9%			
Ildewalks.	62.6%	68.7%	56.4%	79.3%	66.7%			
Commercial - Entertainment	59.2%	71.8%	41.7%	71.7%	63.6%			
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	63.5%	55.4%	79.8%	87.4%	71.8%			
Residential	52.4%	78.1%	52.1%	82.2%	66.2%			
Parks	45.3%	88.0%	68.2%	63.8%	66.6%			
Parking	48.0%	59.5%	49.2%	69.0%	56.4%			
Waterway	429%	83.7%	34.5%	56.5%	54.5%			
Beach Areas	Part of the last o		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON					
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	64.1%	83.8%	66.0%	78.5%	73.1%			
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	75.3%	78.4%	53.9%	77.2%	71.2%			

ASSESSED FOR THE PARTY OF THE P	FYOMIO	FY10/11
Public Area	PY Score	FY Score
Citywide	79.4%	95.5%
Streets	85.4%	90.29
Commercial - Entertainment	. 87.8%	90.3%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	87.3%	89.0%
Residential	82.5%	90.9%
Alleys	59.0%	74.5%
Ildowalks	80.9%	86.7%
Commercial - Entertainment	81.4%	86.1%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	81.4%	86.8%
Residential	79.6%	86.6%
Parks	89.1%	94.7%
Parking	65.7%	78.0%
Waterway	71.6%	83.9%
Beach Areas	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street,	
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	87.3%	90.2%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	88.7%	93.2%

Public Area				ALC: Y			
	Q1	Q2	as a	OH .	FY Score	Difference from prior Otr	Difference from base Vr appre
Citywide	86.8%	84.3%	89.1%	91.0%	87.8%	1.9%	22.0%
Streets	89.0%	90.4%	92.8%	94.3%	91.6%	1.6%	18.2%
Commercial - Entertainment	88.3%	89.8%	95.8%		92 4%	-0.2%	25.1%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	87.2%	88.3%	89.6%	92.9%	89.5%	3.3%	7.2%
Residential	91.5%	93 1%	93.1%	54.5%	93.1%	1.4%	19.8%
Alleys	75.8%	73.7%	81.8%	87.1%	79.6%	6.3%	37.7%
Idewalks	91.5%	88.4%	91.6%	93.4%	91 3%	1.8%	24.6%
Commercial - Entertainment	50.5%	87.3%	96.2%	95.7%		-0.5%	28.8%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	89.7%	86.9%	87.3%	89.6%	88.4%	2.3%	18.8%
Residential	94.3%	91.0%	91.3%	54.5%	92.9%	3.6%	28.7%
Parks	92.8%	93.4%	91.8%	94.5%	93 1%	2.7%	28.6%
Parking	84.7%	75.5%	87.3%	85.7%	83.3%	-1.8%	28.8%
Waterway	64.2%	72.0%	77.4%	82.2%	74.0%	4.8%	18.6%
Seach Areas							
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	56.4%	34.5%	26.7%	97.7%	St. 4%	1.0%	23.3%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	87.7%	95.0%	96.9%		94.2%	-0.8%	23.0%

Public Area	Qf	02	Q3	Qd	FY Score	Difference from prior Gar	Difference from prior FY Ger	Difference from base Yr Gtr
Citywide	89.5%	89.3%				-0.2%	6.0%	18.2%
treets	93.3%	93.2%				0.2%	2.8%	14.0%
Commercial - Entertainment	94.5%	34.0%	STATE STATE OF			-0.8%	4.2%	12.8%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	89.9%	91.8%				1.9%	3.6%	19.6%
Residential	94.5%	93.8%			STATE OF THE PARTY NAMED IN	-0.7%	0.7%	9.2%
Alleys	78.5%	83,0%				4.6%	8.3%	48.2%
Idewalks	92.7%	93.2%			S COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE	0.5%	4.8%	24.5%
Commercial - Entertainment	95,1%	34.1%	THE RESERVE			-1.0%	8.8%	22.3%
Commercial - Non-Entertainment	90.3%	91.7%				1.4%	4.8%	35.3%
Residential	92.7%	93.7%		1000000	100	1.0%	2.7%	16.0%
arks	95.5%	304%		CANCEL S	A STATE OF THE PARTY.	-5.1%	-3.0%	2.4%
arking.	87.8%	86.6%	-			-1.2%	11.1%	27.1%
Vaterway	83.0%	79.1%		500 S (0) S		-3.8%	7.1%	4.6%
each Areas					Maria Maria		LUT PERSONAL PROPERTY.	
Miami Beach Responsibility Only	94.9%	95.4%				0.6%	0.0%	11.0%
Miami-Dade County Responsibility	93.5%	93.3%				-0.3%	-2.7%	14.9%

Cleanliness Key Intended Outcome

Cleanliness was identified in our community surveys as a key driver affecting overall quality of life. In addition, in the 2012 survey, residents and businesses rated cleanliness as the number one service the City should strive not to reduce. The City has implemented increases in service levels and community satisfaction levels have improved. Overall scores have improved by 24.2% from FY2005/06 to FY2011/12.

Next Quarter Assessments

City employees and Neighborhood Leadership Academy alumni and residents are conducting cleanliness assessments every quarter. If you or any member of your staff is interested in participating in the City's Public Area Cleanliness Program, please contact Dr. Leslie Rosenfeld with the Office of Budget and Performance Improvement Organizational Development Division at extension 6923.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me.

c: Mark Taxis, Assistant City Manager
Kathie G. Brooks, Assistant City Manager
Dr. Leslie Rosenfeld, Organizational Development
Jay Fink, Public Works Assistant Director
Kevin Smith, Parks and Recreation Director
Saul Francis, Parking Director
Stephen Scott, Building Director
Hernan Cardeno, Code Compliance Division Commander
Robert Santos-Alborna, Code Compliance Division Director
Al Zamora, Sanitation Division Director

U) 1/KGB/LDR